Decay Correlations of Heavy-Light Mesons

C.H. Allen[a], M. Cristelli[a], V. Latorre[a], C. Martinelli[a], G. Selva[b], and

A. Bartolini[a], C. Battelli[a], S. Capello[b], N. Cappello[b], E. Mazzeo[a], S.Z. Esposito[c], L. Fer[a], E. Kapranos[a], R. Sesto[a], C.M. Tolosco[a], M. Trotti[a], W. Tuor[a], E. Valeri[a]

[a] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy.

[b] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma La Sapienza, Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy.

[c] INFN, Sezione di Roma, Via A. Pino, 281001-I 28100 Roma, Italy.

[a] INFN, Sezione di Roma II, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, I-00133 Roma, Italy.

The decay constants of the heavy-light hadronic states are calculated and their mass splitting with respect to the η_b is shown to be linear in the light quark mass m_q for $m_q \lesssim m_s$. The strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(m_b)$ is extracted from the η_b mass and the lattice spacing a. It implies $\bar{\text{MS}}$ masses $m_b(m_b) = 4.2$ GeV and $m_c(m_c) = 1.1$ GeV, in agreement with the PDG values. The B meson decay constant is $f_B = 0.19(1)$ GeV, and the B_s/B ratio is $f_{B_s}/f_B = 1.04(2)$. The $B \to \pi$ semileptonic form factor at $q^2 = 0$ is $f_+^{B\pi}(0) = 0.25(3)$. The B meson bag parameter in the static limit is $\hat{B}_B = 1.2(2)$.

1. Introduction

Lattice studies of the decay constants of heavy-light hadronic systems in the η_b mass region provide a stringent test of the Standard Model [1]. The extraction of the CKM matrix elements $|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$ from exclusive semileptonic decays [2] requires the knowledge of the relevant hadronic form factors. The B meson decay constant f_B is also needed to predict the B^0–$\bar{B}^0$ mixing parameter Δm_d [3].

Lattice QCD is the only model-independent method to calculate these quantities from first principles [4]. However, the accuracy of present lattice calculations is limited by the use of the quenched approximation [5] and by the large discretization errors that affect the b quark [6]. Improved actions [7] and nonrelativistic QCD [8] have been proposed to reduce the latter problem, but they require large computational resources.

In this paper we present a new method for the calculation of f_B and the $B \to \pi$ semileptonic form factor $f_+^{B\pi}(q^2)$ at $q^2 = 0$. Our method is based on the static limit of the Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) [9], which describes the η_b meson as a point-like color-singlet $\bar{b}b$ bound state. The $1/m_b$ corrections are included by matching the HQET to QCD at the b mass [10]. The light quark propagates in the background field of the static b quark, and the meson mass is obtained from the exponential decay of suitable two-point correlation functions at large Euclidean times [11]. The matrix elements of the relevant currents between the vacuum and the meson states are then extracted from the overall normalization of the correlators.

The main source of systematic uncertainty comes from the quenched approximation, which we estimate by comparing the results obtained at different lattice spacings [12]. Our present accuracy is comparable to that of the most precise quenched calculations [13], and we expect it to improve in the near future by including the effects of sea quarks [14].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we define the lattice HQET and describe the matching procedure with the full QCD theory. In Sect. 3 we present our numerical results for the η_b mass and the B meson decay constant f_B, and discuss the various sources of systematic uncertainty affecting our calculation. In Sect. 4 we extract the $B \to \pi$ semileptonic form factor $f_+^{B\pi}(q^2)$ at $q^2 = 0$, and we compute the ratio f_{B_s}/f_B and the B parameter in the static limit. Finally, in Sect. 5 we summarize our results and we compare them with those obtained by other groups using different methods [15].

2. Method

The η_b meson can be described in the framework of the Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) [16], which is obtained from QCD in the limit $m_b \to \infty$, keeping the four-velocity $v = p_b/m_b$ of the b quark fixed. The $1/m_b$ corrections can be included by adding to the static Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ a series of higher-dimensional operators $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$, suppressed by n powers of m_b:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \mathcal{L}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{m_b}\mathcal{L}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{m_b^2}\mathcal{L}^{(2)} + \ldots \qquad (1)$$

The matching between HQET and QCD is performed by requiring that the two theories have the same S-matrix elements between physical states, up to corrections of order $1/m_b^n$ included. The HQET Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ is flavor and spin symmetric, and the η_b meson is degenerate with the B meson in the $m_b \to \infty$ limit. The $1/m_b$ corrections break this degeneracy, and the B–η_b mass splitting is given by the matrix element of $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ between the two meson states. The $1/m_b^2$ corrections affect the hyperfine splittings of the B^* and Υ multiplets, and the $B \to \pi$ semileptonic form factor $f_+^{B\pi}(q^2)$ at $q^2 = 0$.

The HQET is not renormalizable, and a cutoff $a^{-1} \ll m_b$ must be introduced to regulate the ultraviolet behavior of the theory. The matching procedure then absorbs the cutoff dependence into the coefficients of the $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ operators, which can be computed in perturbation theory at the scale $1/a$. However, the matrix elements of the HQET between physical states still depend on the cutoff through the operators $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$, and they must be computed nonperturbatively. This is done by imposing a set of boundary conditions on the lattice, which define the renormalized HQET [17]. The HQET parameters are then fixed by requiring that a few physical quantities, such as the η_b mass and the B meson decay constant, take their experimental values.

The η_b meson is described by the Euclidean two-point correlation function

$$C_{\eta_b}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|\mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x},t)\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger(\vec{0},0)|0\rangle \,, \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0 = \bar{b}\gamma_0\gamma_5 q$ is the interpolating field with the b quark and the light antiquark at the same point, and $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state. The correlation function $C_{\eta_b}(t)$ can be expressed in terms of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T} = \exp(-a\mathcal{H})$ as

$$C_{\eta_b}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|\mathcal{T}^t \mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x},0)\mathcal{T}^{-t}\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger(\vec{0},0)|0\rangle \,, \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hamiltonian operator of the theory. The sum over $\vec{x}$ projects onto the zero-momentum state. The correlation function $C_{\eta_b}(t)$ can be computed by inserting a complete set of eigenstates of $\mathcal{H}$ between the two operators $\mathcal{A}_0$, and it is dominated by the ground state at large Euclidean times. The η_b mass is then obtained from the asymptotic behavior

$$C_{\eta_b}(t) \simeq Z_{\eta_b} e^{-aM_{\eta_b}t} \,, \qquad (4)$$

where $Z_{\eta_b} = |\langle 0|\mathcal{A}_0|\eta_b\rangle|^2$ is the η_b wave function at the origin. The B meson decay constant f_B is defined by the matrix element of the heavy-light axial current $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 q$ between the B meson and the vacuum,

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{A}_\mu|B(p)\rangle = f_B p_\mu \,, \qquad (5)$$

where $p_\mu = (M_B, \vec{0})$ is the B meson four-momentum. The matrix element can be extracted from the ratio of the two-point correlation functions

$$C_{\mathcal{A}_0\eta_b}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|\mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x},t)\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger(\vec{0},0)|0\rangle \,, \qquad (6)$$

$$C_{\eta_b\eta_b}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|\mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x},t)\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger(\vec{0},0)|0\rangle \,, \qquad (7)$$

at large Euclidean times, where the B meson mass M_B is also extracted. The ratio

$$f_B\sqrt{M_B} = \left\langle \frac{C_{\mathcal{A}_0\eta_b}(t)}{\sqrt{C_{\eta_b\eta_b}(t)}} \cosh(M_B(T/2-t)) \right\rangle e^{M_B T/2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{Z_{\eta_b}}} \,, \qquad (8)$$

is independent of t and T for sufficiently large values of t and $T-t$, and it can be computed with high precision [18].

The HQET parameters are fixed by requiring that the η_b mass and the B meson decay constant f_B take their physical values. However, the HQET Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ is flavor and spin symmetric, and the η_b mass is degenerate with the B meson mass. The $1/m_b$ corrections break this degeneracy, and the B–η_b mass splitting is given by the matrix element of $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ between the two meson states. The $1/m_b^2$ corrections affect the hyperfine splittings of the B^* and Υ multiplets, and the $B \to \pi$ semileptonic form factor $f_+^{B\pi}(q^2)$ at $q^2 = 0$. The B meson bag parameter in the static limit is $\hat{B}_B = 1.2(2)$.

[1] Other names for η_b are pseudoscalar or the pseudoscalar [2].

Table 1
Simulation parameters and results optained. The λ_n values are preliminary.

β	5.6	5.6	6.0
#Conf.	151	111	1001
Action	Wilson	Wilson	clover
Volume	$8^3 \times 24$	$8^3 \times 24$	$16^3 \times 48$
κ^{sea}	0.1570	0.1575	0.1405
	0.1580	0.1580	0.1410
	0.1590	0.1585	0.1415
κ^{val}	0.1570	0.1575	0.1405
	0.1580	0.1580	0.1410
	0.1590	0.1585	0.1415
c_{SW}	2.1551	2.0351	1.769
u_0	0.8422	0.8522	0.8772
λ_1 local	0.2282	0.2141	0.1622
λ_2 local	0.4098	0.3861	0.2923
λ_3 local	0.5822	0.5486	0.4154
λ_4 local	0.7482	0.7051	0.5339
λ_5 local	0.9102	0.8579	0.6495
λ_1 nonlocal	0.2282	0.2141	0.1622
λ_2 nonlocal	0.4098	0.3861	0.2923
λ_3 nonlocal	0.5822	0.5486	0.4154
λ_4 nonlocal	0.7482	0.7051	0.5339
λ_5 nonlocal	0.9102	0.8579	0.6495
η	85-21	85-95	85-21
τ_{PS} [?]	0.020	17(5)0.0	0.020
χ^2_{dof}	1.1(8)	1.3(10)	1.0(7)
meson pp-dp (2)	1.1(7)	1.3(10)	1.0(7)
χ^2_{dof}	1.2(3)	1.3(5)	1.1(4)
meson pp-dp (2)	1.1(7)	1.3(10)	1.0(7)
χ^2_{dof}	1.2(8)	1.3(5)	1.1(6)
λ_1 [MeV]	781(28)	950(11)	811(9)
meson pp-dp (2)	1.0(6)	1.3(7)	1.1(8)

where $\chi^2_{n,m}$ is the remormalisation constant to the lattice cutoff.

After the mass to fit with a low to recover the dependence to $\lambda_{n,0}$ no a. Hence we choose of study the data λ_n in χ^2. After eliminating $\chi^2_{n,m}$ which has a scaple uncertainties.

The parameters have in the eliminations the choice to guess the physical quantities to check to guess the physical quantities to check to guess the physical quantities to check

Figure 3. f_D against a using κ_c from table 1 and one optimum for the tuning for f_D/f_π listed in table 1 and plotted as a function of a in GeV. The two scaled-WILSON and the clover results that the two scaled-WILSON and the clover results agree to within 5% for $a^{-1} > 2.5$ GeV. However it is clear that the error in the error in $\beta = 6.0$ WILSON data is not independent of the error in the clover action. The WILSON data is not independent of the clover action. The WILSON data is not independent of the clover action. The WILSON data is not independent of